

THE TANDEM PROJECT

<http://www.tandemproject.com>.

UNITED NATIONS, HUMAN RIGHTS, FREEDOM OF RELIGION OR BELIEF

N.Y. TIMES – IN ALGERIA A TUG OF WAR FOR YOUNG MINDS

Issue: Algeria – Islam and Education, and the Algerian Universal Periodic Review (UPR).

For: United Nations, Governments, Religions or Beliefs, Academia, NGOs, Media, Civil Society

Review: ALGIERS – *In Algeria, a Tug of War for Young Minds*, by Michael Slackman, New York Times, Monday, June 23, 2008. “First, Abdel Malke Outas’s teachers taught him to write math equations in Arabic, and embrace Islam and the Arab world. Then they told him to write in Latin letters that are no longer branded unpatriotic, and open his mind to the West.” This is the first paragraph of a N.Y. Times article on the struggle in Algeria to adapt **education** for its youth from religious rote instruction to the critical thinking necessary for job skills in the modern age, while maintaining a rich cultural tradition of Islam in the face of Islamic extremism. There is a direct link to the article followed by other links. Excerpts begin on page three of this review.

The direct links are to the U.N. Official Documents Section (ODS) for the report by Algeria on their recent Universal Periodic Review (A/HRC/RES/6/37); follow-up report of the Working Group for the Algeria Universal Periodic Review (A/HRC/WG.6/1/DZA/1); a report on a country visit by the U.N. Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief, Abdelfattah Amor, to Algeria in 2002 (E/CN.4/2002/66/Add.1); and a direct link to the U.S. State Department 2007 Report on International Religious Freedom in Algeria.

An Issue Statement follows on Islam and Education in Algeria; U.N. Member States and NGOs recommendations for the Algeria Universal Periodic Review Working Group report; and issues in the future facing follow-up to the Algerian Universal Periodic Review.

Direct Links and Excerpts begin on the third page followed by an Issue Statement

*Closing the Gap - International Standards for National and Local Applications**

Objective: Build understanding and support for Article 18, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights –Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion - and the 1981 UN Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief. Encourage the United Nations, Governments, Religions or Beliefs, Academia, NGOs, Media and Civil Society to consider the rule of law and international human rights standards as essential for *long-term solutions* to conflicts based on religion or belief.

Challenge: In 1968 the United Nations deferred work on an International Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Religious Intolerance, because of its apparent complexity and sensitivity. In the twenty-first century, a dramatic increase of intolerance and discrimination on grounds of religion or belief is motivating a worldwide search to find solutions to these problems. This is a challenge calling for enhanced dialogue by States and others; including consideration of an International Convention on Freedom of Religion or Belief for protection of and accountability by all religions or beliefs. The tensions in today’s world inspire a question such as:

Should the United Nations adopt an International Convention on Freedom of Religion or Belief?

Response: Is it the appropriate moment to reinstate the drafting of a legally binding international convention on freedom of religion or belief? Law making of this nature requires a minimum consensus and an environment that appeals to reason rather than emotions. At the same time we are on a learning curve as

the various dimensions of the Declaration are being explored. Many academics have produced voluminous books on these questions but more ground has to be prepared before setting up of a UN working group on drafting a convention. In my opinion, we should not try to rush the elaboration of a Convention on Freedom of Religion or Belief, especially not in times of high tensions and unpreparedness. - *UN Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief, Asma Jahangir, Prague 25 Year Anniversary Commemoration of the 1981 UN Declaration, 25 November 2006.*

Option: After forty years this may be the time, however complex and sensitive, for the United Nations Human Rights Council to appoint an Open-ended Working Group to draft a United Nations Convention on Freedom of Religion or Belief. The mandate for an Open-ended Working Group ought to assure nothing in a draft Convention will be construed as restricting or derogating from any right defined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Covenants on Human Rights, and the 1981 UN Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief.

Concept: *Separation of Religion or Belief and State – SOROBAS.* The First Preamble to the 1948 United Nations Universal Declaration of Human Rights reads; “*Whereas recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world.*” This concept suggests States recalling their history, culture and constitution adopt fair and equal human rights protection for all religions or beliefs as described in General Comment 22 on Article 18, International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, UN Human Rights Committee, 20 July 1993 (CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.4):

Article 18: protects *theistic, non-theistic and atheistic beliefs, as well as the right not to profess any religion or belief.* The terms belief and religion are to be broadly construed. Article 18 is not limited in its application to traditional religions or to religions and beliefs with international characteristics or practices analogous to those of traditional religions. The Committee therefore views with concern any tendency to discriminate against any religion or belief for any reasons, including the fact that they are newly established, or represent religious minorities that may be the subject of hostility by a predominant religious community. **Article 18:** permits restrictions to manifest a religion or belief only if such limitations are prescribed by law and necessary to protect public safety, order, health or morals, or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.

Dialogue & Education

Dialogue: United Nations Secretary General Ban Ki Moon, at an Alliance of Civilizations Madrid Forum said; “Never in our lifetime has there been a more desperate need for constructive and committed dialogue, among individuals, among communities, among cultures, among and between nations.” A writer in another setting has said, “The warning signs are clear: unless we establish genuine dialogue within and among all kinds of belief, ranging from religious fundamentalism to secular dogmatism, the conflicts of the future will probably be even more deadly.”

Norms and standards on human rights and freedom of religion or belief are essential as universal rules for peaceful cooperation, respectful competition and resolution of conflicts. International Standards on Human Rights and Freedom of Religion or Belief is a universal platform to establish genuine, inclusive within and among nations, all religions and other beliefs.

Education: Ambassador Piet de Klerk addressing the Prague 25 Year Anniversary Commemoration of the 1981 U.N. Declaration said; “Our educational systems need to provide children with a broad orientation: from the very beginning, children should be taught that their own religion is one out of many and that it is a personal choice for everyone to adhere to the religion or belief by which he or she feels most inspired, or to adhere to no religion or belief at all.”

The 1981 U.N. Declaration states; “Every child shall enjoy the right to have access to education in the matter of religion or belief in accordance with the wishes of his parents, and shall not be compelled to receive teaching on religion or belief against the wishes of his parents, the best interests of the child being the guiding principle.” With International Human Rights safeguards, early childhood education is the best time to begin to teach tolerance, understanding and respect for freedom of religion or belief.

1. Direct Link to N.Y. Times article – In Algeria a Tug of War for Young Minds

http://www.nytimes.com/2008/06/23/world/africa/23algeria.html?_r=1&oref=slogin&ref=todayspaper&pagewanted=print

2. Direct Link U.N. Official Documents Section (ODS) for government of Algeria Universal Periodic Report (UPR):

<http://documents.un.org/welcome.asp?language=E>

Click Simple Search: Select Language: Type Symbol: A/HRC/8/29: Type Date of Publication: 23/03/2008: Click: Search.

3. Direct Link U.N. Official Documents Section (ODS) for Algeria Working Group Universal Periodic Review (UPR) Report:

<http://documents.un.org/welcome.asp?language=E>

Click Simple Search: Select Language: Type Symbol: A/HRC/WG.6/1/DZA/1: Type Date of Publication: 20/03/2008: Click: Search.

4. Direct Link to U.N. OHCHR website for Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief Algeria country visit, September 2002:

<http://www.ohchr.org/EN/Pages/WelcomePage.aspx>

Click: Your Human Rights/Human Rights Issues: Click: Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief: Click: Country Visits: Click: Algeria (September 2002) E/CN.4/2002/66/Add.1.

5. Direct Link to U.S. State Department 2007 Report on International Religious Freedom, Algeria:

<http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/irf/2007/90207.htm>

Excerpts: Excerpts are presented under the Eight Articles of the 1981 U.N. Declaration on the Elimination of all Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief. Examples of extracts are presented prior to an *Issue Statement* for each Review.

1. 1 *Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion. This right shall include freedom to have a religion or whatever belief of his choice, and freedom, either individually or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in worship, observance, practices and teaching.*

1. 2. *No one shall be subject to coercion which would impair his freedom to have a religion or belief of his choice.*

1. 3 *Freedom to manifest one's religion or belief may be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law and are necessary to protect public safety, order, health, morals or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.*

ALGIERS – In Algeria, a Tug of War for Young Minds, by Michael Slackman, New York Times, Monday, June 23, 2008. “First, Abdel Malke Outas’s teachers taught him to write math equations in Arabic, and embrace Islam and the Arab world. Then they told him to write in Latin letters that are no longer branded unpatriotic, and open his mind to the West.”

Malek is 19, and he is confused. “When we were in middle school we studied only in Arabic,” he said. “When we went to high school, they changed the program, and a lot is in French. Sometimes, we don’t even understand what we are writing.

The confusion has bled off the pages of his math book and deep into his life. One moment, he is rapping; another, he recounts how he flirted with terrorism, agreeing two years ago to go with a recruiter to kill apostates in the name of jihad.

At a time of religious revival across the Muslim world, Algeria’s youth are in play. The focus of this contest is the schools, where for decades Islamists controlled what children learned, and how they learned, officials and experts here said.

Now the government is urgently trying to re-engineer Algerian identity, changing the curriculum to wrest momentum from the Islamists, provide its youth with more employable skills and combat the terrorism it fears schools have inadvertently encouraged. It appears to be the most ambitious attempt in the region to change a school system to make its students less vulnerable to religious extremism.

But many educators are resisting the changes, and many disenchanted young men are dropping out of schools. It is a tense time in Algiers, where city streets are crowded with police officers and security checkpoints and alive with fears that Algeria is facing a resurgence of Islamic terrorism.

There is a sense this country could still go either way. Young people here in the capital appear extremely observant, filling mosques for the daily prayers, insisting that they have a place to pray in school. The strictest form of Islam, Whahabism from Saudi Arabia has become the gold standard for the young.

And yet, the young in Algiers also appear far more socially liberal than their peers in places like Egypt and Jordan. Young veiled women walk hand in hand, or sit leg to leg, with young men, public flirtations unthinkable in most other Muslim countries.

“We say that Algeria’s schools have trained monster,” said Khaoula Taleb Ibrahim, a professor of education at the University of Algiers. “It is not to that extent, but the schools have contributed to that problem.”

Over the years the government has pushed back, reintroducing French, removing the most zealous religious teachers and trying to revise the religious curriculum. Seven years ago, a committee appointed by the president issued a report calling for an overhaul of the school system- and it died under intense political pressure, mostly from the Islamists and conservatives, officials said.

But this year, the government is beginning to make substantive changes. The schools are moving from rote learning – which was always linked to memorizing the Koran – to critical thinking, where teachers ask students to research subject and think about concepts.

Yet the students and teachers are still unprepared, untrained and, in many cases, unreceptive. “Before teachers used to explain the lesson,” Malek said. “Now they want us to think more, to research, but it’s very difficult for us.”

ISSUE STATEMENT: This New York Times article demonstrates the courage and commitment of the Algerian National Ministry of Education to bring educational reform and curriculum for skills in **critical thinking** needed by Algerian youth in the twenty-first century, in the face of Islamist extremism and terrorism. On the other hand it shows the depth of cultural differences

between the West and Islam, and the conundrum of **cultural** tension between U.N. Human Rights Council Member States in the European Union (EU) and those in the Organization of the Islamic Conference (OIC), over a draft resolution to extend the mandate on the elimination of all forms of intolerance and of discrimination based on religion or belief.

It has been forty years since the United Nations General Assembly decided in 1968 to defer work on a draft Convention on Freedom of Religion or Belief. It may be another forty years before they take up work again on such a human rights instrument. There are **several** options; to never draft a Convention on Freedom of Religion or Belief, to wait to begin work on a Convention until we have a less divisive world, or to act now in the face of challenges in the twenty-first century. See *History; United Nations, Human Rights and Freedom of Religion or Belief* in the attached Word Document. Current human rights indicators suggest we may be involved more in a “Clash of Civilizations” than an “Alliance of Civilizations” as the United Nations sponsored effort suggests.

This was apparent on December 14, 2007 when the U.N. Human Rights Council voted 29 in favor, 0 against and 18 **abstentions** to a draft resolution to extend by three years the mandate for the Elimination of all Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief (A/HRC/6/L.15/Rev.1). There are 47 members of the U.N. Human Rights Council. Eighteen votes to abstain included: Azerbaijan, Bangladesh, Cameroon, China, Djibouti, Egypt, Gabon, Indonesia, Jordan, Malaysia, Nigeria, Pakistan, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, South Africa and Sri Lanka. The cause of the abstention was the cultural difficulty of Islamic States over the “right to change one’s religion or belief” in paragraph 9, *Urging States*:

9. (a) To ensure that the constitution and legislative system provide adequate and effective guarantees of freedom of thought, conscience, religion and belief to all without distinction, inter alia, by the provision of effective remedies in cases where the right to freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief, or the right to practice freely one’s religion, including the right to **change** one’s religion or belief is violated.”

Link to U.N. Human Rights Council draft resolution (A/HRC/RES/6/37) on the elimination of all forms of intolerance and of discrimination based on religion or belief:

http://ap.ohchr.org/documents/E/HRC/resolutions/A_HRC_RES_6_37.pdf

Sam Harris, in writing a *Letter to a Christian Nations*, has said: “Religion raises the stakes of human conflict much higher than tribalism, racism, or politics ever can, as it is the only form of in-group/out-group thinking that casts the differences between people in terms of **eternal** rewards and punishments.” Another writer has said: “the warning signs are clear: unless we establish a genuine dialogue within and among all kinds of belief, ranging from religious fundamentalism to secular dogmatism, the conflicts of the future will probably be even more deadly.”

In the attached Word Document, *History: United Nations, Human Rights, Freedom of Religion or Belief*, 1967-68, shows why after forty years, multi-cultural debates and discussion on freedom of religion or belief in the United Nations have not changed all that much.

The Algeria Universal Periodic Review (UPR) adopted by the U.N. Human Rights Council, points to ominous signs that we have made **little** progress toward the establishment of universal international human rights standards on freedom of religion or belief, that go much beyond words and empty pledges. In the inter-active follow-up to the Working Group report (A/HRC/8/29) in paragraph 31, “The Holy See noted that the Special Rapporteur on freedom of religion or belief raised concerns about the law adopted in 2006 on the practice of religions other than Islam, which limit the practice of other religions.” Belgium “expressed regret concerning the deteriorating situation of the freedom of religion and belief and referred to the controversial 2006 Ordinance

06-03 determining the conditions and rules for the exercise of religions other than Islam.” Belgium requested information regarding the motives behind these legislative and judicial measures and recommended that Algeria respect freedom of religion and belief, revise the text of the 2006 Ordinance, and **suspend** its application in the meantime.”

The Conclusions and Recommendations to (A/HRC/8/29) by Algeria noted in paragraph 70, **“Other recommendations noted in the report in paragraphs 45, 55, 56, last sentence, and 63 above did not enjoy the support of Algeria.** Paragraph 55 rejects the recommendations of Belgium that they review and suspend Ordinance 06-03, which limits the exercise of freedom of other religions or beliefs in conflict with Government’s interpretation of Islamic law. Algeria has signed and ratified the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). **Ordinance 06-03** clearly violates ICCPR Article 18 on the right to freedom of religion or belief, and many human rights measures in Article 6 of the 1981 U.N. Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief. To read a more detailed examination of Algerian Ordinance 06-03 of September 2006, read the direct link above to the U.S. State Department 2007 International Religious Freedom Report on Algeria.

In 2002 then Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief, Abdelfatah Amor, made an official country visit to Algeria (September 2002, E/CN.4/2002/66/Add.1.) His Conclusions and Recommendations to the U.N. Human Rights Commission, shows progress as well as a lack of forward **momentum** from 2003-2008 in the Algerian pledge to improve human rights standards. His Conclusions and Recommendations can be accessed by opening the direct link above.

Algeria, as the New York Times article, *a Tug of War for Young Minds*, indicates is making a determined and courageous effort to adapt traditional religious culture to twenty-first century educational curriculum requirements for its youth. However, it also reflects greater **international challenges** faced by the U.N. Human Rights Council to reconcile multi-cultural differences over issues such as the “right to change one’s religion or belief.” There are options to reconciliation; one is to go slowly and wait until tensions decrease and another, after forty years, is to act now.

Norms and standards on human rights and freedom of religion or belief are essential as universal rules for peaceful cooperation, respectful competition and the resolution of conflicts. International Standards on Human Rights and Freedom of Religion or Belief is a universal **platform** for genuine, inclusive dialogue within and among nations, all religions and other beliefs.

* Preface *Closing the Gap – International Standards for National and Local Applications*, considers the question of a Convention on Freedom of Religion or Belief followed by a Response from the Special Rapporteur on Freedom of Religion or Belief and The Tandem Project Option and Concept including a program for human rights-based *Dialogue & Education*.

The Tandem Project: a non-profit, non-governmental organization established in 1986 to build understanding and respect for diversity of religion or belief, and prevent discrimination in matters relating to freedom of religion or belief. The Tandem Project has sponsored multiple conferences, curricula, reference materials and programs on Article 18 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights – Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion - and the 1981 United Nations Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief.

The Tandem Project initiative was launched in 1986 as the result of a co-founder representing the World Federation of United Nations Associations (WFUNA) at a 1984 United Nations Geneva Seminar, *Encouragement of Understanding, Tolerance and Respect in Matters Relating to*

Freedom of Religion or Belief, called by the UN Secretariat on ways to implement the 1981 UN Declaration. In 1986, The Tandem Project organized the first NGO International Conference on the 1981 UN Declaration.

The Tandem Project Executive Director: Michael M. Roan, mroan@tandemproject.com.

The Tandem Project is a UN NGO in Special Consultative Status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations

WORD DOCUMENTS ATTACHED

THE 1981 U.N. DECLARATION ON THE ELIMINATION OF ALL FORMS OF INTOLERANCE AND OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RELIGION OR BELIEF

Proclaimed by the General Assembly of the United Nations
25 November, 1981 (Resolution: 36/55)

Considering that one of the basic principles of the Charter of the United Nations is that of the dignity and equality inherent in all human beings, and that all Member States have pledged themselves to take joint and separate action in co-operation with the Organization to promote and encourage universal respect for and observance of human rights and fundamental freedoms for all, without distinction as to race, sex, language or religion,

Considering that the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenants on Human Rights proclaim the principles of non-discrimination and equality before the law and the right to freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief,

Considering that the disregard and infringement of human rights and fundamental freedoms, in particular the right to freedom of thought, conscience, religion or whatever belief, have brought, directly or indirectly, wars and great suffering to humankind, especially where they serve as a means of foreign interference in the internal affairs of other States and amount to a kindling hatred between peoples and nations,

Considering that religion or belief, for anyone who professes either, is one of the fundamental elements in his conception of life and that freedom of religion or belief should be fully respected and guaranteed,

Considering that it is essential to promote understanding, tolerance and respect in matters relating to freedom of religion or belief and to ensure that the use of religion or belief for ends inconsistent with the Charter of the United Nations, other relevant instruments of the United Nations and the purposes and principles of the present Declaration is inadmissible,

Convinced that freedom of religion or belief should also contribute to the attainment of the goals of world peace, social justice and friendship among peoples and to the elimination of ideologies or practices of colonialism and racial discrimination,

Noting with satisfaction the adoption of several, and the coming into force of some conventions, under the aegis of the United Nations and of the specialized agencies, for the elimination of various forms of discrimination,

Concerned by manifestations of intolerance and by the existence of discrimination in matters of religion or belief still in evidence in some areas of the world,

Resolved to adopt all necessary measures for the speedy elimination of such intolerance in all its forms and manifestations and to prevent and combat discrimination on the grounds of religion or belief,

Proclaims this Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief:

ARTICLE 1: LEGAL DEFINITION

1. 1 *Everyone shall have the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion. This right shall include freedom to have a religion or whatever belief of his choice, and freedom, either individually or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in worship, observance, practices and teaching.*

1. 2. *No one shall be subject to coercion which would impair his freedom to have a religion or belief of his choice.*

1. 3 *Freedom to manifest one's religion or belief may be subject only to such limitations as are prescribed by law and are necessary to protect public safety, order, health, morals or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.*

ARTICLE 2: CLASSIFYING DISCRIMINATION

2. 1 *No one shall be subject to discrimination by any State, institution, group of persons or person on the grounds of religion or other beliefs.*

2. 2 *For the purposes of the present Declaration, the expression 'intolerance and discrimination based on religion or belief' means any distinction, exclusion, restriction, or preference based on religion or belief and having as its purpose or as its effect nullification or impairment of the recognition, enjoyment or exercise of human rights and fundamental freedoms on an equal basis.*

ARTICLE 3: LINK TO OTHER RIGHTS

3. 1 *Discrimination between human beings on grounds of religion or belief constitutes an affront to human dignity and a disavowal of the principles of the Charter of the United Nations, and shall be condemned as a violation of the human rights and fundamental freedoms proclaimed in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and enunciated in detail in the International Covenants on Human Rights, and as an obstacle to friendly and peaceful relations between nations.*

ARTICLE 4: EFFECTIVE MEASURES

4. 1 *All States shall take effective measures to prevent and eliminate discrimination on the grounds of religion or belief in the recognition, exercise and enjoyment of human rights and fundamental freedoms in all fields of civil, economic, political, social and cultural life.*

4. 2 *All States shall make all efforts to enact or rescind legislation where necessary to prohibit any such discrimination, and to take all appropriate measures to combat intolerance on the grounds of religion or other beliefs in this matter.*

ARTICLE 5: PARENTS, CHILDREN, STATE

5. 1 *The parents or, as the case may be, the legal guardians of the child have the right to organize the life within the family in accordance with their religion or belief and bearing in mind the moral education in which they believe the child should be brought up.*

5. 2 *Every child shall enjoy the right to have access to education in the matter of religion or belief in accordance with the wishes of his parents or, as the case may be, legal guardians, and shall not be compelled to receive teaching on religion or belief against the wishes of his parents or legal guardians; the best interests of the child being the guiding principle.*

5. 3 *The child shall be protected from any form of discrimination on the grounds of religion or belief. He shall be brought up in a spirit of understanding, tolerance, friendship among peoples, peace and universal*

brotherhood, respect for the freedom of religion or belief of others and in full consciousness that his energy and talents should be devoted to the service of his fellow men.

5. 4 In the case of a child who is not under the care either of his parents or of legal guardians, due account shall be taken of their expressed wishes or of any other proof of their wishes in the matter of religion or belief, the best interests of the child being the guiding principle.

5. 5 Practices of a religion or belief in which a child is brought up must not be injurious to his physical or mental health or to his full development, taking into account Article 1, paragraph 3, of the present Declaration.

ARTICLE 6: NINE SPECIFIC RIGHTS

In accordance with Article 1 of the present Declaration, and subject to the provisions of Article 1, paragraph 3, the right to freedom of thought, conscience, religion or belief shall include, inter alia, the following freedoms:

6. 1 To worship or assemble in connection with a religion or belief, and to establish and maintain places for these purposes;

6. 2 To establish and maintain appropriate charitable or humanitarian institutions;

6. 3 To make, acquire and use to an adequate extent the necessary articles and materials related to the rites and customs of a religion or belief;

6. 4 To write issue and disseminate relevant publications in these areas;

6. 5 To teach a religion or belief in places suitable for these purposes;

6. 6 To solicit and receive voluntary financial and other contributions from individuals and institutions;

6. 7 To train, appoint, elect or designate by succession appropriate leaders called for by the requirements and standards of any religion or belief;

6. 8 To observe days of rest and to celebrate holidays and ceremonies in accordance with the precepts of one's religion or belief;

6. 9 To establish and maintain communications with individuals and communities in matters of religion or belief at the national and international levels.

ARTICLE 7: NATIONAL LEGISLATION

7. 1 The rights and freedoms set forth in the present Declaration shall be accorded in national legislation in such a manner that everyone shall be able to avail himself of such rights and freedoms in practice.

ARTICLE 8: EXISTING PROTECTIONS

8. 1 Nothing in the present Declaration shall be construed as restricting or derogating from any right defined in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the International Covenants on Human Rights.